

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

ACPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/001,671	10/001,671 10/31/2011		Giuseppe Baddaria	DKT00140	2089
22242	7590	02/06/2003			f
		IN AND FLANNI	EXAMINER		
SUITE 1600		LE STREET	STEFANON, JUSTIN		
CHICAGO,	CHICAGO, IL 60603-3406				
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3682	
				DATE MAILED: 02/06/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del></del>	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
<del>.</del>	10/001,671	BADDARIA, GIUSEPPE					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
e.	Justin Stefanon	3682					
\( \) \( \) The MAILING DATE of this communication app     \( \) Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 F	ebruary 2002 .						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa							
closed in accordance with the practice under I Disposition of Claims	=x раπе Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.					
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 10-32 is/are pending in the app	lication.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 10-32</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 October 2001</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified of the copies of the prior application.</li> </ul>	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).					
a)  The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	* *						
Attachment(s)	·						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5	5) Notice of Informal F	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 3682

r

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Drawings

1. Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 12-15, 19, 22-25, 29, and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 12 and 22 recite the limitations "a maximum tooth flank radius  $r_e$  max and a minimum tooth flank radius  $r_e$  min" in line 4 and "a maximum tooth flank radius  $r_e$  max and a minimum tooth flank radius  $r_e$  min" on lines 7-8. It is unclear from the claims whether  $r_e$  max and  $r_e$  min are the same for both flank profiles.

Claim 15 and 25 recite the limitations "a maximum tooth flank radius  $r_e$  max and a minimum tooth flank radius  $r_e$  min" in lines 5-6. It is unclear from the claim whether  $r_e$  max and  $r_e$  min are the same as for the first and second flank profiles.

Claims 19, 29, and 32 recite teeth having differing tooth flank radii  $r_e$ , but according to the drawings, the radius  $r_e$  refers to the single flank radius of the conventional sprocket, whereas radius  $r_e$  refers to the radius of the nth tooth profile:

Claim 32 further recites the limitation "a constant outer diameter d and a constant addendum circle diameter d<sub>a</sub>" in line 16. In the specification, the dimension "d" describes the pitch circle and the dimension "d<sub>a</sub>" describes both the outer diameter and the addendum circle diameter. It is unclear whether the pitch circle d is meant to be claimed as constant or only the outer diameter/addendum circle diameter d<sub>a</sub>.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, and 10-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Japanese Patent Document No. 56-150655 to Iquchi.

Iguchi discloses a method of making a chain sprocket having teeth with three different flank profiles T0, T1, T2, as seen in Figures 3 and 7, with a constant spacing between seatings or roots, as seen in Figure 6. The roots receive rollers J of chain 5 and have a root radius and a constant root diameter, as seen in Figure 6. Each of the three flank profiles has a differing flank radius varying between a maximum and minimum tooth flank radius. The angle between the root radius and tooth flank radius inherently varies due to the varying pressure angles created by the differing flank radii,

Application/Control Number: 10/001,671

Art Unit: 3682

and the effective displacement of the line of tangency created thereby. The sprocket has a constant outer diameter and the chordal pitch is constant, as seen in Figure 6.

The teeth are symmetric as seen in Figure 3.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art includes various sprockets for chains utilizing randomized tooth flank profiles or varying tooth flank profilesAny inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Justin Stefanon whose telephone number is 703-305-1945. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 6 - 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A. Bucci can be reached on 703-308-3668. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326 for regular communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Page 4

January 31, 2003